# **Transcript**

In the middle of the summer’s shocking [fires](https://www.fire.ca.gov/incidents/2021/) and [floods](https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/aug/23/climate-crisis-made-deadly-german-floods-up-to-nine-times-more-likely) came the grimmest [climate science report](https://www.ipcc.ch/assessment-report/ar6/) yet from the UN’s [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change](https://www.ipcc.ch/), warning of a [“code red for humanity”](https://theconversation.com/apocalyptic-films-have-lulled-us-into-a-false-sense-of-security-about-climate-change-165837) as our use of fossil fuels continues to drive up global temperatures.

To keep below the threshold of 1.5 degrees °C of warming – the goal of the [Paris climate agreement](https://theconversation.com/cop26-how-the-world-will-measure-progress-on-the-paris-climate-agreement-and-keep-countries-accountable-160325) – immediate reductions in carbon emissions are needed. One of the sectors doing the most damage is international shipping, the emissions of which are almost equivalent to those of an industrial country like [Germany](https://www.cleanenergywire.org/factsheets/germanys-maritime-freight-emissions). The [International Maritime Organisation](https://www.imo.org/) (IMO), the UN shipping regulator, has put issues surrounding shipping and climate change high on its [agenda](https://www.imo.org/en/MediaCentre/MeetingSummaries/Pages/default.aspx).

[Shipping emissions](http://blog.policy.manchester.ac.uk/posts/2020/06/can-shipping-emissions-be-kept-in-check-in-a-post-covid-future/) can be calculated using four principal factors: the weight of products transported, the distance they’re sent, the amount of fuel it takes to move one tonne of products one kilometre, and the amount of carbon released by making and using that fuel – known as the fuel’s carbon-intensity.

The overwhelming focus of political attention is mainly on that last point – which fuel is used, and how carbon intensive it is. But it will be beyond 2030 before low-carbon fuels, like [hydrogen or ammonia](https://royalsociety.org/-/media/policy/projects/climate-change-science-solutions/climate-science-solutions-hydrogen-ammonia.pdf), grow past a single-digit percentage of all shipping fuel used. This is a problem: if we are to meet the Paris Climate Agreement goals, emissions need to see dramatic reductions in the short term.

That means we need to think about the wide range of other ways to cut shipping emissions in the coming decade. Here are 6 areas to look at:

## **1. Reduce the amount of fuel needed for shipping by transporting less stuff**

In a world of finite resources, we need to think critically about consuming less – for example, whether we need to import containers of [garden gnomes](https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-gloucestershire-56748561) from China to the UK, or whether high street clothes retailers should continue to prioritise [fast fashion models](https://theconversation.com/fast-fashion-lies-will-they-really-change-their-ways-in-a-climate-crisis-121033) where clothes are shipped halfway around the world yet only designed to last for several uses.

## 2. Transport less stuff over shorter distances

It’s possible that long distance transport might become less necessary in the future, as the rise of [3D printing](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/01441647.2017.1370036) could see goods printed locally and on demand. The new generation of shipping fuels could also be produced nearer to where they’re needed, so they only have to be transported by ship over hundreds, rather than thousands of miles.

## **3. Transport less stuff over shorter distances at slower speeds**

The faster ships move, the more energy they need. The bottom line is that going slower is one of the most effective and immediate ways to cut ships’ fuel use. This can happen naturally due to [high fuel prices](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2581518), but locking in these benefits needs action from the IMO, such as regulation on ship speed limits.

## **4. Retrofit ships.**

There are multiple ways to retrofit ships so they use less fuel, like adding protruding [“bulbous bows”](https://www.marineinsight.com/naval-architecture/why-do-ships-have-bulbous-bow/) to reduce resistance from waves and upgrading ship [propellers and hulls](https://primeserv.man-es.com/marine-engines-and-systems/propeller-aftship/retrofit-modernization/propeller-upgrade) to improve fuel efficiency.

## **5. Make use of the wind…**

Spinning cylinders called [Flettner rotors](https://vtas-fes.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Flettner-Rotors-Factsheet_VTAS-2019.pdf" \t "_blank) and huge [kite sails](https://vtas-fes.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Kites-Factsheet_VTAS-2019.pdf) are just two technologies that harness the power of the [wind](https://www.rmg.co.uk/stories/topics/how-can-shipping-be-more-environmentally-friendly) to help propel vessels. This can cut fuel consumption by [10%](https://www.energylivenews.com/2017/03/14/wind-could-help-shipping-tankers-cut-fuel-by-10/). Coupling this with computer programs that model wind speed and direction allows ships to [optimise](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352146516300515) their routes, saving ships a further 10% of fuel.

## **6. Make use of ‘shore-power’.**

Ships can use less fuel when in port by switching off their engines and connecting to local electricity grids instead. This technique, which also reduces air pollution in coastal cities, is called [“shore-power”](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350438980_Policy_and_politics_in_energy_transitions_A_case_study_on_shore_power_in_Oslo). Norway, the USA and China lead in implementing shore-power thanks to government support, but it’s less common in the UK – solutions to this are set out in this Tyndall Manchester [research](https://mailchi.mp/britishports/tyndall-report) paper: Bullock, S. (2020) *Barriers and solutions for UK shore-power*.

Text available here: <https://theconversation.com/ten-ways-to-cut-shippings-contribution-to-climate-change-from-a-researcher-167997>

Tyndall Manchester [research](https://mailchi.mp/britishports/tyndall-report) paper from the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Manchester.